

Erratum: Flexible ring polymers in an obstacle environment: Molecular theory of linear viscoelasticity [Phys. Rev. E 74, 021805 (2006)]

Balaji V. S. Iyer,^{*} Ashish K. Lele,[†] and Vinay A. Juvekar[‡]
(Received 7 June 2007; published 22 June 2007)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.75.069901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.069901)

PACS number(s): 83.80.Sg, 83.10.Kn, 83.60.Bc, 99.10.Cd

We would like to point out a typographical error in the second term of Eq. (27) in this paper. The actual equation should read as:

$$\frac{\partial \langle X_{p\alpha} X_{p\beta} \rangle}{\partial t} = -2 \frac{k_p}{\zeta_p} \langle X_{p\alpha} X_{p\beta} \rangle + 4 \frac{k_B T}{\zeta_p} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa_{\alpha\mu} \langle X_{p\mu} X_{p\beta} \rangle + \kappa_{\beta\mu} \langle X_{p\alpha} X_{p\mu} \rangle. \quad (27)$$

This does not modify the results of the paper.

In the second and the third paragraph of Sec. V on melt of rings we have presented two scenarios of modified number of segments between entanglements (N_e^r) and modified entanglement spacing (a_r), respectively. In presenting these scenarios it was assumed that the structure between entanglements is a fractal structure with $a_r = (N_e^r)^\nu b$. The primitive chain formulation for length of trunk in PPR framework of Sec. II intrinsically assumes and requires a Gaussian structure between entanglements. In order to have a consistent framework the incorrect fractal picture between entanglements and the arguments presented based on it like the expressions for entanglement spacing (a_r), number of segments between entanglements (N_e^r), and the plateau modulus ratio in Eq. (44) and Eq. (45) are invalid.

We change the two scenarios presented earlier to those consistent with the Doi-Edwards primitive chain formulation. We change the first scenario to have entanglement spacing (a_r) for rings to be the same as that for linear chains (a_l) and the resulting corrected fractal picture giving the number of segments between entanglements to be $N_e^r = N_e^l N^{1-2\nu}$. We change the second scenario to have the entanglement spacing $a_r \approx 0.6a_l$ and the number of segments between entanglements to be $N_e^r \approx 0.36 N_e^l N^{1-2\nu}$. The calculations presented in the paper are not affected by this change as entanglement spacing (a_r) is a fit parameter in the model.

^{*}Also at Department of Chemical Engineering, IIT Powai, Bombay 400076, India

[†]ak.lele@ncl.res.in

[‡]vaj@che.iitb.ac.in